

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/058,323

Applicant(s)
Houwen et al.

Examiner
Housel, Jim

Group Art Unit
1641



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Housel, Jim

(3) _____

(2) Leo Lenna

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 21, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant called and requested a remailing of the Office action of September 28, 1999 based upon an erroneous indication that the action was responsive to a communication from applicant on June 11, 1998. Based on a review of the application file, no such communication exists. Since the Office action was defective in this information and since applicant attempted to clarify this issue within the 3 month shortened statutory period without success, the relief requested by applicant is appropriate. Accordingly, the Office action of September 28, 1999 will be remailed with a one month shortened statutory period.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

James C. Housel

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

JAMES C. HOUSEL

ADVISORY PATENT EXAMINER